

Environmental Insecurity and Erosion of Women Socio-economic Status in the Niger Delta, Nigeria

Crosdel O. Emuedo¹✉, Michael Abam¹, Blessing Oligbi²

¹Department of Political Science and Sociology, Western Delta University, Oghara

²Department of Economic, Western Delta University, Oghara

Abstract: The Niger Delta has been the hub of oil operations since 1958. Before the advent of oil, the people women mostly depended on the natural environment; fishing and farming for their livelihoods. However, oil operations in the region have been accompanied by unabated oil spillages and huge gas flares that have acutely despoiled the environment. The papers examine oil operations and environmental insecurity in the Niger Delta. The paper contends that oil operations have virtually stripped women of their known means of livelihoods, with no other alternative means of sustenance; leading to servile poverty. This has forced women into practices traditionally abhorred in the region for sustenance. The paper concludes that but for oil operations, women socio-economic status would not have been so adversely impacted and ingloriously diminished.

Keywords: Environmental Insecurity, Women Socio-economic Status, Niger Delta

Introduction

The Niger Delta is Africa's largest delta; with over ½ made-up of wetlands (Awosika, 1995). The total area is 25,640 km², as follows; Low Land Area 7,400km², Fresh Water Swamp 11,700 km², Salt Water Swamp 5,400 km² and Sand Barrier Islands 1,140 km² (Ashton-Jones, 1998). These harbour natural environments of crucial ecological importance; rainforest, mangrove swamp forest that harbours many endemic species of diverse faunal and floral groups (Kingdom, 1990). Nigeria's mangrove forest ranked third largest in the world (FOS, 2004; NDHDR, 2006) has 95% (504, 800 hectares) are in the Niger Delta and 5.0% (95,000 hectares) in Akwa-Ibom state (NDDC, 1999; FOS, 2004). These forests due to their ecological functions and myriads resources medicines, fisheries, wood for fuel and shelter, tannins and dyes, and critical wildlife habitats, provide sustenance for sustaining local communities.

The definition of the Niger Delta has mutated over the years, due to vested interests. Originally, the Niger Delta is defined as the area bound by the Benin River in the West, Aboh, in the North, Imo River in the East and Palm Point Akasa in the South (Dike, 1956:19). The definition subsisted until 1999 (see Willink *et al.*, 1958; Akinyele, 1998). The geographic co-ordinates are; Aboh 05° 33' 49"N; 06° 31' 37"E in the north and Palm Point 04° 16' 22"N; 06° 05' 27"E in the south; Benin River estuary 05° 44' 11"N, 05° 44' 49"E and Imo River estuary 04° 27' 16"N, 05° 35' 27"E in the east (NDES, 1997). Based on the

definition and geographic parameters above, the Niger Delta comprises of the present Delta, Bayelsa and Rivers States. However, in Part 1 Subsection 2(1), of the Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC) Act 1999, the Niger Delta is politically defined as synonymous with the nine oil producing states of Nigeria¹. The Niger Delta; labelled the heart and lungs of Nigeria (Saro-Wiwa, 1999:13-14), is Nigeria's oil enclave (De Montclos, 1994; Embassy of Nigeria, 2001). The region is endowed with substantial hydrocarbon deposits. Proven oil reserves as at January 2007 are estimated at 36.2 billion barrels (RWI, 2010) but (NNPC, 2009) put the figures at 40 billion barrels. Also, natural gas reserves estimated at 159 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) (NNPC, 2009), and 182 Tcf (RWI 2010) are present in the region. This explains why the region is regarded as the twelfth richest area in hydrocarbon resources world-wide (Klett *et al.*, 1997). The region presently accounts for over 90% of onshore and about 85% of off-shore oil production and also hosts the massive oil fields, technological and administrative infrastructure of the oil industry.

The Niger Delta, besides its vast oil and gas deposits is also endowed with, fertile agricultural land, abundant rivers (as well as creeks) and fish, forest and human resources (Onosode, 2003). Indeed, the significance of the region dates back to the colonial era due to its very rich natural resources and its strategic location as a prominent commercial and export centre. The commercial activities involved the

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. Publication rights with Alkhaer Publications.

Published at: <http://www.ijsciences.com/pub/issue/2017-09/>

DOI: 10.18483/ijSci.1171; Online ISSN: 2305-3925; Print ISSN: 2410-4477



Crosdel O. Emuedo (Correspondence)

emuedo@yahoo.com

+

exchange of manila, tobacco, linen or woollen clothes and coral beads for ivory, hides and skin, palm oil, rubber, pepper. The major economic activities are farming and fishing are mixed with handicrafts; mat weaving, hand-dug boats, salt making and distillation of local gin.

According to World Bank (1995) the Niger Delta also, has other numerous economic tree species; mahogany (*khaya* sp), red mangrove (*rhizophora* sp), abura (*Hallea ledermanni*), iroko (*Milicia excelsa*) and cotton tree (*Ceiba pentandra*). These species are commonly used for building poles, fuel wood, saw logs and transmission poles. Other common species in the Niger Delta are *Lophira alata*, *Pycnanthus angolensis*, *Ricinodendron heudelotii*, *Sacoglottis gabonensis*, *Uapaca* spp., *Hallea ledermannii*, *Albizia adianthifolia*, *Irvingia gabonensis*, *Klainedoxa gabonensis*, *Treculia africana* and *Ficus vogeliana* (McGinley and Duffy, 2007).

The Niger Delta is very rich in aquatic resources with high diversity and abundance of over 200 species of fishes (Uluocha and Okeke, 2004; Ebeku, 2004; Nwadiaro, 1984; Fentiman, 1996; NDWC, 1995), in 36 families, 20 of which are endemic (WWF, 2006). The area has more species of freshwater fishes (197) than any other coastal ecosystem in West Africa (Powell, 1993; Ogebe, 2005; Brown, 2006). About 68% of the active labour force mostly women are engaged in one form of farming and fishing activity for economic purpose (Worgu, 2000; FOS, 1985). Wetland provide a cheap and common source of animal protein for most of its inhabitants (Chindah and Osumakpe, 2005; Davies *et al.*, 2009). Studies have shown that about 16 species of the 200 species of fishes found in the Niger Delta are endemic to the region, with 29 others near endemic (Moffat and Linden, 1995; Ebeku, 2004; NDWC, 1995). However, incessant oil spills and huge gas flaring have been the bane of oil activities in the Niger Delta. This has caused acute physical and environmental changes that have impacted the region's ecological balance (Moffat and Linden 1995), resulting in habitats distortion and species depletion (Amakiri 2005). This has impacted on livelihoods patterns in the region. Women in the region depend heavily on the natural environment (agricultural activities) for their families' up-keep and income. Indeed, women are the fulcrum of agricultural activities in the Niger Delta. Thus, as, we shall see, the distortion of the environment has had acute concomitant effect on women's status in the Niger Delta.

Conceptual Framework: Gender and the Environment

Human societies are structured around patterns that establish how social interactions are carried out. A vital social structure that organises social interaction is status – a position a person occupies that is a key

indicator of how one is defined and treated. Statuses may be acquired by achievement, through one personal efforts, or by ascription (born into them), or attained involuntarily at some point in a life cycle. A person may simultaneously occupy a number of statuses, referred to as a status set; mother, daughter, lawyer, employer, and tenant. Compared to achieve statuses occurring later in life, ascribed statuses instantaneously impact virtually every aspect of our lives. The key ascribed statuses are gender, race, and social class. Status is simply a position within a social system, dissimilar to rank or prestige but determines a person's role. A role is the expected behaviour associated with a status. Roles are performed according to social norms, shared rules that guide people's behaviour in specific situations. In all societies social norms determines privileges and responsibilities a status possesses. For example, statuses; males and females have different role requirements attached to them. As vital parts of social structure, statuses and roles organise our lives in consistent, predictable ways. In combination with accepted norms, they order our behaviour and ease interaction with people occupying diverse social statuses.

Agricultural activities constitute the dominant traditional economic activity in the Niger Delta. Poor households are often highly dependent on "common property resources" (CPRs); fallow fields, forests, fishing grounds for their livelihoods. These provide variety of goods; food, fodder, fuel, medicinal plants that are important sources of sustenance or income for the land-less, especially women (KFRI, 1980; Fernandes and Menon, 1987). CPRs are of vital importance for the poor mostly women, given their unequal access to land (GOI, 1986, 1987). FOS (1985) in a report stated that farming and fishing account for about 90% of all forms of women economic activities in the region. Studies show that outside the home, most women are unemployed as they lack education, as such, agricultural activities constitute their main source of income; women "comprise 60-80% of agricultural labour and supply 90% of family food needs (Weidemann, 1987; Rowell and Goodal, 1994; Picard, 1995:37). Women are the main breadwinner and they bear the burden of raising and nurturing their families. Apart from other gender-specific tasks performed by women, traditionally, they have a primary role of food production (Wuraola, 2001:109), spending over 70% of their time on this activity alone (UN-ECA, 2001). Educationally deprived, women rely on agriculture for family food and monetary needs amongst other forms of survival strategies (Weidemann 1987; Picard 1995; Moser 1996; Davies 1996b; UN-ECA, 2001). To provide for their families, women are often, collectors, end users and managers of ecosystem resources. For traditionally, it is women who provide and manage natural resources needed for

the family's up keep. Thus, as resources users, distributors and conservers, women incessantly interact with the environment. Due to these functions, women have intimate knowledge of their ecosystems and a strong need for sustainable use of resources; failure increases their work burdens (Agarwal, 1994, 1997). The role of women in relation to the environment in the Niger Delta is vital due to their reliance on the environment for their families' socio-economic (income and food) needs. It is with regard to these needs, therefore, that impacts of oil activities on the environment have been mostly felt by women in the region. In sub-Saharan Africa agriculture has been identified especially, as, having substantial multiplier effects (Young, 1998; Haggblade *et al.*, 2007). Studies have shown that gender socialisation significantly influences individual behaviour very early in life, and these findings have been supported across cultures (Block, 1973; Williams and Best, 1990). Therefore, it is the nexus between oil activities and environmental insecurity arising from their concomitant impacts on livelihood that oil activities and women socio-economic status in the Niger Delta may be explained.

Oil Activities and Livelihood Insecurity

Agriculture is the core activity of rural people (FAO, 2006); source of income and employment (World Bank, 2008; IFAD 2002), an antidote to environmentally induced conflicts and thus, among rural people, a source of peace (Messer, *et al.*, 1998; de Soysa and Gleditsch, 1999; UNU-IAS Report, 2004; Addison, 2005). As a deltaic region with mostly rural population, agriculture is the basis of life sustenance in the region, especially women. To the Niger Delta people agriculture is an unbreakable source of life sustenance. Women in the Niger Delta mangrove forest ecosystem engage mainly in fishing and gathering of sea foods. A dense vegetation of mangroves in their marine and brackish habitats found along numerous rivers and creeks are also veritable sources of fuel wood for domestic and small-scale food processing, as, well as income generation. Similarly, the fresh water swamp forest ecosystem occurring around fresh water creeks and lakes support women's fishing activities, gathering of sea foods, fuel woods, gin distillation from raffia palm trees (*Raphia vinifera*), collection of African mango seeds, *Ogbono* (*Irvingia gabonensis*), snails, weaving of mats and other objects/items from screw pine (*Pandus candelabrum*), rattan palms and bulrushes respectively. In the mangrove and fresh water swamp systems women engage in farming, mainly for subsistence and depending on the availability of arable farmland. In the tropical rain forest, women's major economic activity is farming. Collection of snails and other non-timber products, weaving, fuel wood gathering, tapping of rubber trees, etc are other sources from which women generate revenue and derive their livelihood.

But despite its value, agriculture has been pitilessly plundered (Schiff and Valdes 1992). The Niger Delta is inhabited mostly by rural dwellers that depend solely on the natural environment for their sustenance and livelihoods (UNDP, 2006). The Nigerian state it seem, attaches more value to oil resources at the expense of agriculture. For instance, while crude oil input to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) rose from trivial 0.3% (1960), 7.1% (1970), 22.0% (1980), 12.8% (1990), 47.5% (2000) to 40.6% in 2002, the contribution of agriculture plunged from 64.1% (1960), 47.6% (1970), 30.8% (1980), 39.0% (1990), 35.7% (2000) to 28.35% in 2002 (Adedipe, 2004:1). Indeed, since then, oil has accounted for 80% of government revenues, 90% of foreign exchange earnings, 96% of export revenues (Karl and Gray, 2003:26; Powell *et al.*, 2005:9; ICG, 2006b:19; Watts, 2008). The deplorable situation of agriculture has been attributed mostly to the adverse impacts of oil operations on the environment. Oil operations in the Niger Delta have involved unabated oil spillages. As, UNDP (2006:181) reported, over 3 million barrels of crude oil were spilled in 6,817 incidences from 1976-2001, with over 70% of the oil spilled unrecovered. Also Department of Petroleum Resources (DPR) data showed that between 1976 and 2005, over 3, 121, 909.8 barrels of crude oil were spilled in about 9,107 incidents in the region (Egberongbe *et al.*, 2006; Emuedo, 2010). Of the quantity of the oil spilt, (77%) was lost to the environment, while only 23.17% was recovered. However, various writers consider the volume and records of oil spills in the Niger Delta highly under reported (see Green Peace 1994; Dublin-Green *et al.* 1998, Grevy, 1995, Iyayi, 2004).

Oil has devastating and long lasting negative impacts on the environment; oil impacted areas are unsuitable for farming even after two decades (Ekekwe, 1983). Pollution impacts have been more severe because clean ups are often tardy and limited (Kemedi, 2005). Indeed, the effect of oil pollution on the environment in general and agriculture in particular in the Niger Delta, have been reported by many studies (Amakiri and Onofeghara, 1984; Ayodele 1985; Ibeanu 1997; Obi, 1999; Ekundayo *et al.*, 2001; Inoni *et al.*, 2006; Emuedo *et al.*, 2007; Emoyan *et al.*, 2008). Oil reduces soil fertility (Osuji and Nwoye, 2007), smothers economic trees and food crops; kill them out-rightly and reduce crop yield (Edema *et al.*, 2009). Also, oil causes 60% reduction in household food security (Ordinioha and Sawyer, 2008) and reduces crops quality. According to Nwaoguikpe, (2011) the ascorbic acid content of waterleaf was reduced by 36%, while cassava crude protein content was reduced by 40% (Osam *et al.*, 2011). Crude oil also reduced maize (*Zea mays* L.) germination and yield by 50% and 92% respectively (Udo and Fayemi, 1975) and acutely impacted height, stem girth, ear height, leaf area and length of primary roots

of the plant (Amakiri and Onofeghara, 1984; Ekundayo *et al.*, 2001). Similarly, crude oil stunts the growth of most common vegetables (Omosun *et al.*, 2008).

In addition to incessant oil spills, oil operations in the Niger Delta have also involved massive gas flares. The highest volume of gas worldwide, about 75% is flared in the region (Marland *et al.*, 2005). Nigeria flared 22.8 trillion of gas from 1958 to 2003, from the 22.8 billion barrels of oil it produced; a thousand cubic feet of gas is flared per barrel produced (Rowell *et al.*, 2005). According to World Bank (2007) gas flared in the Niger Delta contributes about 70 million metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions yearly (10 percent of global CO₂) and also releases about 12 million tons of methane (CH₄); considered to have higher warming capabilities than CO₂ (World Bank 2002; ICF, 2006). The impacts of crude oil on the environment have negatively impacted agriculture in the region.

Indeed, the combined impacts of oil pollution and gas flares have affected the environment, with concomitant acute adverse effects on agriculture in the region (). For instance, pollution from oil spills has led to poor water quality in the Niger Delta. Aquatic organisms' have diverse response to

pollution (Egborge, 1994). Fish and other aquatic species react to water quality (Patil, 1976:38; Obeng, 1981:340; Ogbeyu and Ezeunara, 2002); water quality impacts the composition, assemblages and distribution of fish species (Boney, 1983; Kutty, 1987). Oil activities seem to have impacted availability of aquatic products in the Niger Delta, as, fish, Cray fish, periwinkle, crabs and edible frogs are no longer readily available (Hassan *et al.*, 2002). Aquatic species seems to have dwindled in the region. For example, Aghoghovwia *et al.* (2015) recorded only 34 species compared to 91 species by earlier studies (Okia-Anie, 1980:65-68; Okumagba, 1988; Dibia, 1989; Tetsola and Egborge, 1991 Agada, 1994). The species number is also lower than 58 species recorded for flood plain rivers in Africa by Welcome (1979). Studies show that acute and chronic effects of oil pollution deplete environmental resources that may result in death of living organisms in the environment, either immediately or with time (Hofer, 1998; Cole, 1997; MacFarland, 1998). It is therefore not surprising that 75% of respondents a study Emuedo (2013) avers that fish catches have drastically reduced in the region. Studies Omoweh (1978) and Emuedo have indeed reported that adverse impacts of oil activities in the Niger Delta environment, has led to virtual extinction of some species of flora and fauna (Table 1).

Table 1: Effects of oil pollution on sampled flora and fauna of the Niger Delta

Flora/ Fauna	Significance	Remarks
Coco yam*	Major staple food widely grown in the region; a main source of carbohydrate like yam and cassava	Farmers stopped farming it since the mid 1970s due to very poor yields
Electric fish*	A dominant fresh water fish; a major source of protein found mostly in fish ponds	Extinct since the early 1980s
Iku-ewwewe**	Tiny reddish fresh water Cray fish. Not eaten by Urhobos, sold and also used for sacrifice (appease spirit of bed wetting)	Decrease catch noticed in the early 1980s and now, it is virtually extinct
Cat fish*	A common fresh and salt water fish in the region found in natural water bodies; a major source of protein	The fresh water type has been very scarce since the 1990s; now found in commercial fish farms
Ohorhe fish**	Large scaled fresh water fish, not eaten by Urhobos but killed for sale	Has become virtually extinct since the early 1980, in the area
Edible frog (Okerhe)**	A dark smooth skinned fresh water frog found in natural water bodies; a source of protein and also used for medicinal purposes.	It has now virtually extinct as it is hardly found now
Iseun**	A type of small fish that moves in a very large school, caught by fisher men along with Cray fish; source of protein for most poor people as it is sold at very cheap rate.	It has now virtually extinct as it is hardly found now though Cray fish is still available in the markets
Igieneba**	A small fresh water fish that moves in a very large school, caught usually in shallow streams and rivers	It has now virtually extinct as it is hardly found now in the markets
Epepete**	A small fresh water fish found in large schools usually at the beginning of the rainy season, caught by people even with ordinary basin in the early mornings or late evenings and sold very cheaply	It has now virtually extinct as it is hardly found in the markets

Source: *Omoweh 1978; **Emuedo 2010.

The adverse impacts of oil spills on agricultural practices have been further exacerbated by the impacts of gas flares on the environment. Oil operations have involved obsolete equipments and unsustainable practices, as, most gas produced is flared (Hunt, 2000; Ibhade 2001). According to World Bank, (2009) over 150 billion cubic metres of gas is flared yearly. The high level of gas flares in the region has generated serious concerns (Enehoro, 1973; Aggrey 1983; Obadina, 2000; Oghifo, 2001). Gas flares impacts micro-climate and vegetation (Odilison 1999, Efe 2003), soil, air and water quality (Ekanem 2001) and human health (Obajimi 1998; Oniero and Aboribo 2001). This is because gas flares gives rise to atmospheric contaminants; oxides of Nitrogen, Carbon and Sulphur (NO₂, CO₂, CO, SO₂), particulate matter, hydrocarbons and ash, photochemical oxidants, and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) (Obioh, 1999; Kindzierski, 2000). These contaminants acidify the soil, depletes soil nutrients and nutritional values of crops (Imevbore and Adeyemi, 1981). Most often, there is no vegetation in the areas around flare sites due to the extreme heat that is produced and acid nature of soil pH (Ubani and Onyejekwe, 2013).

Also, gas flares have been linked to soil depletion (Abara, 2009) vegetation destruction; over 10 hectares in 1998 (Odilison, 1999) and pollution of rivers (Ekanem, 2001). At temperatures of about 1,300°C to 1,400°C, gas flares heat up everything (Ake, 1996) and heat radiation from flares result in micro bacteria decline (Okezie 1989) leading to poor crop yields (Nelson *et al.*, 2003). Gas flares in the Niger Delta have led to decreased yields in most common crops. Several studies have linked gas flares to low agricultural activities; fishing and hunting, thus impairing livelihoods (Alakpadia, 2000; Daudu, 2001; Udoinyang, 2005; Inoni *et al.*, 2006). Specifically, gas flares have drastically reduced the yield common food crops and vegetable; sweet potato (Udoinyang, 2005), cassava/yam (Odjugo, 2007) egusi melon (*Citrusillus Lanatus*) (Odjugo 2010). Indeed, Adeyomo (2002) reported a 10% decrease in crop yield at a distance of 1000 metres, 45% decrease at a distance of 600 metres and 100% yield loss at a distance of 200 metres from a gas flare point for most food crops in the region. Gas flares have also impacted on common tree and food crops in the region as shown in (Table 2).

Table 2: Effects of Gas Flares on Common Crops in the Niger Delta

Crop	Problem associated to gas flaring by farmers in the Niger Delta Akri
Yam (<i>D. rotundata</i>)	Gas flare (GF) continuously emitting heat radiation, light and unburnt gas drastically reduces yield, both quantitatively and qualitatively. GF attracts insects, such as variegated grasshopper (<i>Zonocerus variegates</i>) that eats up vines, and yam beetle (<i>Heteroligus</i> spp.) that attacks yam tubers.
Cassava (<i>M. esculenta</i>)	Gas flare attracts grasshoppers, which eat up the plants
Mango (<i>M. indica</i>)	Gas flare causes premature ripening of fruits, especially during the dry season months of December-March each year.
Sweet orange (<i>C. sinensis</i>)	Gas flare effect is similar to that of mango. In addition farmers believe that toxic effluents dispersed by flood water to homes and farms adversely affect the crop.

Source: Daniel-Kalio and Braide (2006:6)

As we shall see, adverse impacts of oil operations on the environment have had myriads socio-economic impacts on women women's livelihood, resulting in their diminished status. The near demise of agriculture led to poor incomes for women and food shortages. According to Braun *et al.* (1992) food scarcity leads to productivity losses, misallocation of scarce resources, lower cognitive ability and ineffective income earning decisions.

Environmental Changes and Women Economic Security

Agriculture is the core activity of rural people" (FAO, 2006). It is the basis of life sustenance (World Bank, 2008; IFAD 2002), income and source of peace for rural dwellers (Messer, *et al.*, 1998; de Soysa and Gleditsch, 1999; UNU-IAS Report, 2004; Addison, 2005). To the Niger Delta people especially women agriculture is an unbreakable link in life sustenance. Before the advent of oil, the Niger

Delta's economy depended solely on agricultural commodities. For instance, palm oil produced in the region became an export crop in 1558, and by 1830, dominated Nigeria's export list for more than 50 years. Agriculture is the most dominant traditional economic activity in the Niger Delta. Farming and fishing according to FOS (1985) account for about 90% of all forms of economic activities in the region. Indeed, agriculture constitutes the main source of employment and income for rural women. Like other parts of Africa, 80% of agricultural production is from small farmers, who are mostly women. Due to their great efforts in agricultural production, women's production helps to guarantee their self-sustenance. However, agricultural practices in the region have been acutely constricted by the negative impacts of oil activities (Schiff and Valdes 1992) with dire impacts on women esteem.

The stultification of agriculture has meant inadequate food production and food insecurity. Food insecurity results in human suffering, substantial productivity losses and a misallocation of scarce resources due to diminished work performance, lower cognitive ability and ineffective income earning decisions (Braun *et al.* 1992). The negative effect of oil activities on the environment in general and agriculture in particular in the Niger Delta, have been widely acknowledged by several studies (Udo and Fayemi, 1975; Amakiri and Onofeghara, 1984; Ibeanu 1997; Obi, 1999; Roberts, 1999, 2005; Ekundayo *et al.*, 2001; Inoni *et al.*, 2006; Emuedo, 2007; Emoyan *et al.*, 2008; Ayodele, 1985).

One of the main ways that oil activities have directly constricted agricultural practices in the Niger Delta is

in land requirements for oil operations. Annually, large chunks of lands deployed hitherto for agricultural purposes are lost to oil activities. For instance, a minimum of about 7.7 hectares of land is required to site an oil well and a helipad. Also, land is needed to construct access road, pipelines, borrow pits, waste disposal sites and seismic lines. As a result oil-host communities in the Niger Delta have lost erstwhile farmlands to oil activities. Reduction in agricultural land has led to increased land fragmentation and consequently, decreased crop production. A glimpse of the quantum of land required for an oil well and its accessories is illustrated with the case of Okrika, (Table 3) in Rivers state. This illustration typifies the situation in all oil-host communities in the Niger Delta

Table 3: Arable land area lost to oil related activities in Okrika LGA, Rivers State (Ha)

Name of oil field	No. of wells	Area per well	Total area for wells	Area for activities around well	Area for Helipad	Area for flow station	Total land area for oil activities
Bolo	10	6.3	63.0	1.2	1.4	6.3	71.9
Iwokiri	9	6.3	53.7	1.2	1.4	6.3	65.6
Mbikiri	8	6.3	50.4	2.4	1.4	6.3	60.5
Agokien	34	6.3	214.2	2.4	2.8	6.3	225.7
Ele	11	6.3	69.3	2.4	2.8	6.3	80.8
Oraberekiri	21	6.3	132.3	1.2	1.4	6.3	141.2
Wakama	26	6.3	163.8	1.2	1.4	6.3	172.7

Source: Adeyemo (2002)

According to Adeyemo (2002) the community lost over 818.08 hectares of land to oil activities, which deprived farmlands to over 900 women. The picture of arable land lost to oil activities would be clearer, when we put into perspective the fact that the Niger Delta today harbours a massive oil infrastructure consisting of 606 oil fields 360 on-shore and 246 off-shore, 5,284 wells, over 7,000 kilometres of pipelines traversing a land area of about 31,000 square kilometres, 10 export terminals, 275 flow stations, 10 gas plants, 3 refineries and a massive liquefied natural gas (LNG) (Watts, 2007). These huge infrastructures (Table :) together with staff housing roads and canals construction by the oil companies entail land acquisition that has in real terms involve the loss of agricultural lands to oil activities.

Additionally, Shell as at 2001, held over 400 kilometres square of land for its operations, most of it reserved for future use. This land holding is exclusive of land acquired for "short-term" purposes; seismic projects and temporary staff housing and land not acquired for petroleum development but, nonetheless, rendered useless as part of ecological collateral damage from oil activities. Also, Chevron's operations as at 1998 spanned over 5,000 kilometres offshore and 2,600 kilometres of onshore lands in the region. Though the amount of land used for oil activities, may be considered small, by comparison with the total area of the region, the effect of the resultant land fragmentation on access to land for women is devastating to their economic well-being.

Table 4: Oil and Gas Infrastructure and Production Related Activities in the Niger Delta

% contribution of crude oil export to national forex earnings	Over 80%
Average daily crude oil production	2.45 million bpd
Number of oil wells drilled in the Niger Delta	6,284
Number of flow-stations for crude oil processing	257
Length of oil and gas pipelines in the Niger Delta	Over 7000km
Number of export terminals	10
Land area within which the network of pipelines are located	31,000sq km
Number of communities hosting oil / gas facilities	Over 1,500
Number of gas flaring points in the Niger Delta	133
Number of gas plants in the Niger Delta	10
Number of marginal oil fields farmed out to local companies	30

Source: Niger Delta Regional Development Master Plan, 2006; Watts, 2007.

Thus, oil activities without even its attendant negative effects are a hindrance to the availability of land for agriculture in the Niger Delta. A 1995 study revealed that between 1992 and 1993 land area under food crop production in Bayelsa, Rivers and Delta states decreased by 41.7% and 15% respectively; due to oil related land sequestration. This practice besides constricting women access, to land, increases land fragmentation leading to decline in crop production, which impacts women's income. Land requirement for oil activities in the Niger Delta, renders women economic activities unviable, and insignificant (Charlton, 1997; Visvanatban, 1997). Women bear the heaviest burden of environmental changes because often, they are uneducated and poorer, thus, depend mostly on natural resources for their livelihoods (FAO, 2011). As, such, oil activities have led to myriads of socio-economic and environmental, problems; soil infertility and damages to crops and marine life from pollution (Amadi and Tamuno, 1999); leading to dislocated livelihoods, rural-urban drift, and poor health (Elis, 1994; Amadi and Tamuno, 1999). However, despite all these, neither the state or the oil companies have commissioned scientific studies to ascertain the adverse effects of oil activities on the region's environment since 1956 (van Dessel, 1995; Mamby, 1999). Thus, the people especially women have continued to bear the brunt of oil operations on the environment, with no hope of alternatives.

Environmental Insecurity and Women Initial Coping Strategies

As already shown elsewhere, oil operations in the Niger Delta even without the attendant negative effects are a hindrance to the availability of land for agriculture. A major factor for the heavy oil-related land use is that unlike the Persian Gulf where oil is concentrated in major pools, and the North Sea countries, where oilfields are mainly offshore, oil occurs in small fragmented pools onshore across the Niger Delta. As a result, oil operations in the region have entailed practically littering villages, farms, forests, swamps and streams with oil facilities (Udo, 1970:62). It is a well-known fact that arising from its topography land is scarce in the Niger Delta and scarcer still is arable land. For instance, it is estimated that of the 2,185,000 hectares that is the land area of Rivers and Bayelsa states about half of it is swamp land which hampers certain agricultural practices. In Delta state, of 1,769, 800 hectares, which represent its total land area, about a third are similarly swamps. Thus, continuous loss of land to oil spillage and other oil-related activities is basically the destruction of women's means of livelihood. Historically, lands are owned and controlled by men in the Niger Delta, and due to the topography land is scarce. As a result, women have intensely struggled to access farms or fishing areas. Oil activities have exerted further pressure by its virtual sequester of

most lands for oil production and erection of processing facilities that are built on erstwhile arable lands and fishing grounds. This has exerted acute pressure on agricultural practices; farming and fishing (Hutchful, 1985:51; Turner and Badru, 1985).

Indeed, since the advent of oil as a source of power in Nigeria, its social relations of production have tended to alienate local people mostly women. In addition, interaction between the oil companies and their host communities breeds a variety of contradictions that reflect the contour of power that enriches them, while impoverishing local people especially women. Women have been alienated from the social relations of oil production and have been hardest hit by environmental impacts of oil activities (UNDP, 2002). In farming and fishing especially, oil activities with associated pollution have constricted the economic space for women. For instance, an impact assessment of the 1983 Oshika oil spill by Powell and White (1985) confirmed the death of floating and submerged aquatic fauna; water lettuce, crabs, fish and birds. Also, the Bomu II oil spill of July 1970 impacted over 607 hectares of farmlands; denying women from farming in the area for years (Odogwu, 1981). Fishing activities for women was also reduced as fishing gears; cast net, drift net, set hook, line hook, fish fence, crayfish, shrimps and fish traps were destroyed. Furthermore, fish catches reduced drastically than catches prior to the oil spill years after the spill (Chukwu *et al.*, (1998). Scientific studies (NDES, 1997; Egborge, 2000; Orubu *et al.*, 2002; Otukunefor and Biukwu, 2005) have shown that unregulated and unsustainable methods of oil operations in the Niger delta account for the acute pollution of the aquatic ecosystems. Also, the politics of oil and its commoditisation of the environment also exclude the rural women from its labour needs, as, they are often, not accorded formal education (Turner and Oshare, 1993). This has constricted women economic activities; diminishing their social status. The diminution of their economic activities renders women contributions immaterial and unviable economically (Charlton, 1997; Visvanatban, 1997). Also, lacking access to land, women are unable to access credit, due to lack of collaterals (land/real estate) often, required by banks (Nwogu, 1995; Igube, 2007:60-61). This has disadvantaged and impoverished women vis-a-vis men; engendering marked inequalities in social and economic roles between men and women (Oakley, 1974:11). This is because equitable resources distribution contributes to equitable relations between men and women while imbalanced access by men and women to resources lead to imbalanced relations Alliyu, (2007:6).

Women initial reaction to the impacts of oil activities on the environment and hence, their means of livelihoods was to embark on protests; meant to draw attention to their plight. This led to series of women's

anti-oil peaceful protests across the Niger Delta. The first protest was at Ogharefe in 1984 against a US oil company Pan Ocean (Turcotte, 2002:1). The protest was anchored on women's demands for the oil companies' improvement of the socio-economic and environmental conditions of the oil-host communities in the region. This protest achieved some level of success, as some of the women's demands were met by the oil company. The next to follow was Ekpan women's Revolt that began at 5 a.m., on Monday, August 25, 1986, involving crowd of women estimated at about 10,000 from Uvwie clan against the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) Refinery, Petro-chemicals Plant and the Pipelines and Products Marketing Pump station, all located at Ekpan. The throng was made up of women of all age groups including the very old (Daily Times (Nigeria), August 28, 1986:3; Sunday Telegraph (Warri), August 31, 1986:1). This was followed by Ijaw and Itsekiri women's protest that took place on May 23, 2002, against another US oil company Chevron (INDYMEDIA, 2002:1-3; Okpowo and Adebayo, 2002:1-4; A.I, 2003:1-9). Though the protest involved only about 300 women it was considered most remarkable protest due to the women's threat to strip naked, giving rise to intense international media attention on it for the 10 days it lasted (Branigan and Vidal, 2002:8). These protests were considered successful as some of their demands were met by the oil companies.

However, by the late 1990s things changed for the women, as the oil companies became vicious in their response to women mass protests. This was manifested by the acute repression of two women protests in Warri against Shell and Chevron on August 8, 2002 involving over 3000 women from the three ethnic groups in Warri; Urhobo, Ijaw and Itsekiri. In both companies, the women were abandoned for about 12 hours by both companies' officials, and then brutally dispersed by a joint team of soldiers and mobile policemen with tear gas, gun butts, and horsewhips, while shooting into the air rapidly with AK 47 rifles (Emuedo and Emuedo, 2014). The women were simply brutally and dispersed without an opportunity to dialogue with the officials of the companies. We can therefore, see clearly that the oil companies became rather very vicious in their response to women mass protests after some initial successes. With their means of livelihood alienated by oil activities and left with no options, women in the Niger Delta resorted to other survival strategies.

Environmental Insecurity and Women Socio-economic Status

Socially in all societies there are usually gender assigned tasks and obligations. Traditionally, in the Niger Delta, based on the division of labour women provide for the family's upkeep (Onoge, 2002:6).

This makes "women producers, procurers and preparers of food" (Okon, 2002:67) and they rely entirely on the natural environment to achieve these tasks. This because rural women in the Niger Delta, as, in the world over, being uneducated, rely mostly on diverse forms of agricultural practices, for their income and about 90% of family food needs (Weidemann, 1987; Picard, 1995; Moser 1996; Davies 1996a,b; UN-ECA, 2001). But impacts of oil operations on the environment have disrupted traditional livelihoods, leading to food shortages (Udonwa *et al.*, 2004; Udoh *et al.*, 2008; 2009) and servile poverty for women (Uchendu, 1995). The havoc wrecked on the environment by oil activities abolished fish products from coastal waters and creeks, leading to continual dwindling harvests. This has led to huge rise in the prices of fish and farm products. For instance, since 1995, the cost of fish and other sea foods have soared; a good milk cup of shelled periwinkles now cost between ₦350.00 to ₦400.00, while a depressed cup sells for between ₦250.00 to ₦350.00. Hitherto, a full good milk cup cost just ₦25.00. Besides, it is mainly juvenile sizes of periwinkles that are now sold in most markets except in Nembe where periwinkle has no commercial value that some seemingly medium sized adults can be found" (Emuedo, 2013). Also, bonga fish and its fingerlings (Isogu), (often given out free) by most women are now rare commodities. The fingerlings are now virtually extinct, while a very tiny piece of dry bonga fish now selling for over ₦90 and a medium size sells for between ₦130 to ₦150. In the past, ₦100 worth of bonga fish purchased directly from fisher men and women could last an average family for two weeks. Similarly, a bowl of shrimps (about two-litre volume) sells for ₦6000, while Crayfish is ₦3000. The cost of these items in the past was ₦650 and ₦250 respectively.

Thus, the erstwhile close to nature feeding life style of the people has deviated drastically, due to oil activities. As a result, most people in oil-host communities live in their ancestral communities, like strangers; they now buy food as against the past when people feed themselves from their own produce. This practice was totally alien two decades ago in the region (Clark, *et al.* 1999:9). This because due to peoples disconnect from their traditional means of livelihood by oil induced changes in the environment; most people in oil-host communities resorted to buying their foods. As Eaton (1997) opined, food items; fish, sea foods, cassava, garri, yam, plantain and fruits hitherto produced in surplus and sold cheaply are so scarce that they must be purchased from communities far from oil-host communities at exorbitant prices. The situation has been further worsened by the obtuse neglect of the region in the provision of developmental infrastructure.

The ecological effects of oil activities on the environment impacted women economically and socially severely in the region's oil-host communities. It crushed their ability to meet their families' food needs or earn income. As some writers (Chambers and Conway, 1992; SIDA, 1995; Grown and Sebstad, 1989) have aptly observed; in time of crisis individuals and households usually adopt myriads survival strategies. The Niger Delta is no exception. Thus, as women's peaceful protests failed to improve their situations, they sorted other survival measures, provided ironically by the oil industry. Oil locations create a false sense of "sweet life" that has triggered various unholy practices. For instance, prostitutes enclaves "Ashawo" villages where single girls engage in sordid sex "rings" are proliferate. Also rampant, is the increasing practice of young single girls leasing rooms. Most of these young girls involved in commercial sex are either indigenes or migrants from proximate communities and towns. The lack of formal economic prospects in the face of traditional gender roles has made sex work a survival strategy for many women in the Niger Delta.

The commercial sex trade is buoyed by the sexual activities and advances of oil workers, whose presence is ubiquitous in the region; when they come ashore after months of separation from the society. It has also been aided by the high concentration of military and private security officers protecting oil facilities. Thus, most patrons of the prostitutes are connected to the oil business. Towns, villages and even hamlets in the region have seen influx of male workers from other parts of Nigeria as well as a large expatriate community. This has led to an increasing throng of women flocking the region from other parts of the country to earn easy money. The ease with which these women make money from oil workers, coupled with poverty, and lack of alternative means of livelihoods have led many hitherto upright young girls and even housewives in the region into prostitution. The temptation that women (young or old, married or unmarried) are exposed to as a result of what one may call "dollar sex trade" is quite intense. For instance, we all know that ₦500 or even ₦1000 is quite small but imagine being offered between \$1000 and \$2000 for sex that will last no more than five minutes?" That is a very huge amount of money in naira and with the poverty level in the region, most women easily fall prey even against their better judgement. With orchestrated superior living standard openly exhibited with fat wad of dollars offshore oil workers mostly expatriates, often with uncontrolled sexual behaviour tempt and lure innocent girls and even housewives into quick sex when they come ashore. Inadvertently, this lead to unhealthy relation not necessarily rooted in intrinsic affection but as a survival strategy to eke out a living since oil activities have made useless their traditional means of livelihood. Thus, erstwhile long established social values rooted in culture and traditions of the

people have been adversely altered. As a result many women even otherwise decent housewives have become permanent or part-time commercial sex providers. However, this has not been without adverse social effects on women despite the economic gains; unwanted pregnancies, unwanted children, unwanted abortions unwanted single parenthood and "mulato" (white skinned) children. According to Jike (2004:697) the sex trade has led to acute moral decadence in family and marriage institutions; legally married wives disengaging from their husbands and engaging in sex business.

There is no doubt that the moral decadence in the region, especially in oil-host communities is closely tied to the oil industry. For instance, Emuedo (2010) in a study reported that "Sometimes, young girls after been raped by members of the security forces that are also highly concentrated in the region are forced into what is now commonly known in the region as "military prostitution". Most members of the security forces wherever they go, usually set-up brothels and then force local girls to prostitute themselves therein. Often these girls are forced to engage in bestiality with expatriate oil workers. The families of such girls are usually singled out for "special treatment" (severe repression) if they try to protect their children and or refuse to perform".

Conclusion

Whereas, youths transformed anti-oil protests in the Niger Delta into a near full-blown insurgency, it was women who gave the first hint of the stultifying effects of impunity of oil operations on the environment and the people. The primary role of women as food gatherers and providers for their families exposed them to the negative effects of oil activities on the environment. As the saying goes; "the one wearing the shoe knows where it pinches" Thus, to safeguard their traditional roles they embarked on peaceful protests to draw attention to their plights. The oil companies' response to the protests was merely cosmetic, and failed to improve their situation as the environment in fact worsened. The lack of education makes most women unfit for paid employment in the Niger Delta, hence their resort to "survival" strategies. The strategies resorted to by the women for survival went against the basic tenets of the traditional society in the Niger Delta, thus, demeaning their status.

References

1. Abara, D. (2009). "Chemical Analysis of Soil in parts of the Niger Delta". *J. Environ. Chem.*, 2(1):28-34.
2. Addison, T. (2005). "Agricultural development for peace". Research Paper No. 2005/07, WIDER (World Institute for Development Economics Research), United Nations University.
3. Adedipe, B. (2004). The impact of oil on nigeria's economic policy formulation, Paper presented at the conference on

- Nigeria, Maximising Pro-poor Growth: regenerating the Socio-economic Database. Overseas development Institute in collaboration with the Nigerian Economic Summit Group.
4. Adeyemo, A.M. (2002). "The oil industry extra-ministerial institutions and sustainable agricultural development: a case study of Okrika LGA of Rivers State. Nigerian Journal of Oil and Politics, vol.2, No.1.
 5. Agada, E.G.O. (1994). Heavy Metals Concentration in selected fish fauna of Warri River and its tributaries, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Benin, Benin City, Nigeria.
 6. Agarwal, A. (1994). *A Field of One's Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
 7. Agarwal, B. (1997). Bargaining and Gender Relations: Within and Beyond the Household. *Feminist Economics*, 3(1), 1-51.
 8. Aghoghovwia, O.A., Oyelese, O.A. and Ohimain, E.I. (2015). Impacts of Industrialization on Fish Species Composition and Diversity in Warri River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International*. 3(3):1-10.
 9. Aggrey, M.M. (1983). Gas Flaring and Future life in the Niger Delta. Paper delivered at the National Conference on Petroleum Production in Nigeria, Lagos. 7th – 8th, October.
 10. Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Spectrum Books Ltd, Ibadan.
 11. Akinyele, R.T. (1998). "Institutional Approach to the Environmental Problems of the Niger Delta" in Osuntokun, A (ed) *Current issues in Nigerian Environment* (Ibadan: Davidson press).
 12. Alakpadia, I.J. (2000). Soil characteristics under gas flare in the Niger Delta, Southern Nigeria. *Geo-Studies forum* 1 (1and 2): 1-10.
 13. A.I. (Amnesty International) (2003). Nigeria: Repression of women's protests in oil-producing delta region, 11 February. Online: <http://web.amnesty.org/library>.
 14. Alliyu, N. (2007). "How women were devalued from the Beginning" *Ijagun Journal of social sciences* Published by Faculty of Social Science, Tai Solarin University of Education (TASUD) Ijebu-Igbo.
 15. Amadi, S. N. and Tamuno, S. O. (1999). Oil Exploration in Nigeria: Its socio-Economic impact on the oil-bearing communities Tiber, Volume 3, No.1 January – June, 1999.
 16. Amakiri, E.N. (2005). Aspects of biology pseudolithus elongatus of bonny estuary, Niger Delta Nigeria. M.Sc. Thesis, Rivers State University of Science and Technology pp.9-99.
 17. Amakiri, J.O. and Onofeghara, F.A. (1984). Effects of crude oil pollution on the germination of Zea mays and Capsicum frutescens, *Environmental Pollution Series A, Ecological and Biological*, 35 (2): 159-167.
 18. Ayodele, A. (1985). "The Conflict in the growth of the Nigeria Petroleum Industry and the Environmental Quality" *Socio Economic Planning Sciences*, 19(5), pp. 295 – 301.
 19. Ashton-Jones, N. (1998). *The Human Ecosystems of the Niger Delta*. Ibadan; Krat books, Ltd.
 20. Awosika; L. F. (1995). Impacts of Global Climate Change and Sea Level Rise on Coastal Resources and Energy Development in Nigeria. In: Umolu J.C. (ed.). *Global Climate Change: Impact on Energy Development*. DAMTECH Nigeria Limited, Nigeria, pp 41-59.
 21. Boney, A.D., (1983). *Phytoplankton*. Edward Arnold, London, UK.
 22. Branigan, T. and Vidal, J. (2002). Women: Hands up or strip! *The Guardian*, Manchester (UK), July 22.
 23. Von Braun, J., Bonis, H., Kumar, S. And Pandya-Lorch, R. (1992). *Improving food security of the poor: concept, policy, and programs*. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington DC.
 24. Brown, J. (2006). "Niger Delta Bears Brunt after 50 Years of Oil Spills." *The Independent on Sunday*.
 25. www.independent.co.uk/news/world/africa/niger-delta-bears-brunt-after-50-years-of-oil-spills-421634.html
 26. Chambers, R. and Conway, G. (1991). *Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: Practical Concepts for the 21st Century*. www.smallstock.info/reference/IDS/dp296.pdf.
 27. Charlton, S. E. (1997). "Development as History and Process", In Visvanathan, Nalini, Duggan, Lynn, Nisonoff, Laurie and Wiegersma (eds) *The Women, Gender and Development Reader*. Halifax, Canada: Fernwood Publishing Ltd. pp.7-16.
 28. Chindah, A. C. and Osuamkpe, A. (2008). The fish assemblage of the lower Bonny River, Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Afr J Ecol.*, 32:58–65.
 29. Chukwu, L. O., Brown, C. O. and Nwankwo, D. I., (1998). The impact of oil pollution on the hydrochemistry and biota of the tidal creeks and canals in Ondo State. 9th International Conference on the Petroleum Industry and the Nigerian Environment, Abuja, November, 538-576 (1998).
 30. Cole, H. A. (1997). Pollution of the Sea and its Effects. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.*, 205(1158):17-30.
 31. Daniel-Kalio, L.A and Braide, S.A. (2006). "Effect of gas flaring on plants in a tropical fresh water swamp forest in Nigeria". *Ghana Journal of Science*, 46:3-11.
 32. Daudu, O.D. (2001). Social economic and environmental problems associated with oil spillage in the Niger Delta. (M. Sc Thesis), School of Environmental Sciences, Imo State University Owerri, Nigeria.
 33. Davies, R.M., Davies, O.A., Abowei, J.F.N. (2009). The status of fish storage technologies in Niger Delta Nigeria. *Am J Sci Res.*,1:55–63.
 34. De Montclos, M.A. (1994). *Le Nigéria*. Kurthala, Paris. Cited in Luiselli, L. and Akani, G. C. (2003). An indirect assessment of the effects of oil pollution on the diversity and functioning of turtle communities in the Niger Delta, Nigeria.
 35. de Soysa I. and Gleditsch, N. P. (1999). "To cultivate peace: agriculture in a world of conflict", *PRIO Report 1/99*, International Peace Research Institute, Oslo (PRIO).
 36. Dibia, N. H. (1989). *Food and Feeding Habits of the Fishes of Tori Creek, Warri B.Sc project*, University of Benin, Benin City Nigeria..
 37. Dike, K. (1956). *Trade and Politics in the Niger Delta, 1830-1885*. (Oxford: Claredon Press).
 38. Dublin-Green, C.O., Nwankwo, J.N. and Irechukwu, D.O. (1998). Effective regulation and management of the issues in the petroleum industry in Nigeria. Paper presented at: SPE International Conference on Health, Safety and Environment in Oil and Gas Exploration and Production, Jun 7–10, Caracas, Venezuela
 39. Eaton, J.P. (1997). *The Nigerian tragedy: Environmental Regulation of Transitional corporation and the Human to a healthy environment: Boston University International Law Journal*. Vol. 15, 261-307.
 40. Ebeku, K.S.A. (2004). Biodiversity conservation in Nigeria: an appraisal of the legal regime in relation to the Niger Delta area of the country. *J Environ Law*, 16:361-375.
 41. Edema, N. E., Obadoni, B. O., Erheni, H. and Osakwuni, U. E. (2009). Eco-phytochemical studies of plants in a crude oil polluted terrestrial habitat located at Iwhrekan, Ughelli north local Government area of delta state. *Nat Sci.*, 7:49–52.
 42. Efe, S.I. (2003). Effects of Gas Flaring on Temperature and Adjacent Vegetation in Niger Delta Environment. *Int. Journal of Environment.*, 1(1), 91-101.
 43. Egberongbe, F., Nwilo P. and O. Badejo, (2006). *Oil Spill Disaster Monitoring Along Nigerian Coastline*. TS 16 – Disaster Preparedness and Management, Munich Germany.
 44. Egborge, A.B.M. (1994). *Water pollution in Nigeria. Biodiversity and chemistry of Warri River*. Ben Miller Books Nigeria Limited ISBN 0-999-768.:275-288.
 45. Ekanem, I.N. (2001). *Effects of Gas Flaring on the Soil, Air and Water Quality of Obigbo North*. Centre for Environment and Science Education. Lagos State University.
 46. Ekekwe, E. (1983). *The Funiwa-5 Oil Blow-out*. International Seminar on the Petroleum Industry and the Nigerian Environment, Lagos: NNPC, pp. 64-68.
 47. Ekundayo, E.O., Emede, T.O. and Osayande D.I. (2001). Effects of crude oil spillage on growth and yield of maize

- (Zea mays L.) in soils of midwestern Nigeria. *Plant Foods Human Nutrition* 56 (4): 313-324.
48. Elis G. (1994). Shell in Nigeria: what are the issues <http://www.essentialaction.org/shell/issues.htm>
 49. Embassy of Nigeria, (2001). Nigeria's profile: political and economical. Embassy of Nigeria Italy Quarterly Newsletter, 3(2000): 11–12.
 50. Emoyan, O.O., Akpoborie, I.A. and Akporhonor, E.E. (2008). The Oil and Gas Industry and the Niger Delta: Implications for the Environment. *Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management*. September, Vol. 12(3) pp. 29-37.
 51. Emuedo, C.O., Anoliefo, G.O. and Emuedo, O.A. (2007). The Environment, Poverty and Insecurity Nexus: A Perspective on the Niger Delta, Nigeria. In: Chokor, B. A and Ozo, A (eds.), *The Environment and Society in Nigeria*, Environmental and Behavioural Association of Nigeria (EBAN), University of Lagos. pp. 195-234.
 52. Emuedo, C. O. (2010). Oil, the Nigerian State and Human Security in the Niger Delta. Ph. D Thesis, University of Benin, Nigeria.
 53. Emuedo, O. A. (2013). Physicochemical and Microbial Diversity in Oil Impacted Mangrove Ecosystem: Implications for Environmental Sustainability in the Niger Delta. Ph. D Thesis, University of Benin, Nigeria.
 54. Emuedo, C. and Emuedo, O. (2014). Oil activities, unsustainable environment and the combative reactionism of women in the Niger Delta. *Afr. J. Pol. Sci. Int. Relat.* 8(1):1-9.
 55. Enehoro, A. (1973). Oil Production in Nigeria: Need for early reflection. Government Printer, Lagos.
 56. FAO (2006). Agriculture remains principal activity of rural people, Rome, www.fao.org/newsroom/en/news/2006/1000214/index.html,
 57. FAO (Food and Agriculture organisation of the United Nations). (2011). The State of Food and Agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organisation Rome. www.fao.org/docrep/013/i2050e/i2082e00.pdf
 58. Fentiman, A. (1996). The anthropology of oil: the impact of the oil industry on a fishing community in the Niger Delta. *Soc Justice*. Winter: 23.
 59. Fernandes, W. and G. Menon (1987). Tribal Women and Forest Economy: Deforestation, Exploitation and Status Change, Indian Social Institute, Delhi.
 60. FOS (Federal Office of Statistics) (2004), Socio-Economic Profile of Nigeria
 61. GOI (Government of India) (1986). 37th Round Report of Land Holdings . I, Some Aspects of Operational Holdings, Report No. 331, National Sample Survey Organization, Department of Statistics, Delhi.
 62. GOI (Government of India) (1987). 37th Round Report on Land Holdings . I, Some Aspects of Household Ownership Holdings, National Sample Survey Organization, Department of Statistics, Delhi.
 63. GreenPeace International (1994). Shell Shocked: the Environmental and Social Cost of Living with Shell in Nigeria.
 64. Grevy, P., 1995. The Niger Delta in Nigeria: A Pollution Assessment Study. World Bank, Washington D.C.
 65. Grown, C. and Sebstad, J. (1989). 'Introduction: towards a wider perspective on women's employment' in *World Development Vol 17 No.7*
 66. Haggblade, S., P. Hazell, and Dorosh, P. (2007). 'Sectoral Growth Linkages between Agriculture and the Rural Non-farm Economy'. In S. Haggblade, P. Hazell and T. Reardon, (eds), *Transforming the Rural Non-farm Economy- Opportunities and Threats in the Developing World*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press.
 67. Hassan C. Olawoye J. and Nnadozie K. (2002), "Impact of International Trade and Multinational Corporations on the Environment and Sustainable Livelihoods of Rural Women in Akwa-Ibom State, Niger Delta Region," Unpublished M. Sc. "Thesis in the Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Ibadan.
 68. Hofer, J. (1998). Environmental and Health effects resulting from marine bulk liquid transport. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 5(4):231-7.
 69. Hunt, T. (2000). The politics of bones. *Saturday Night*. June 24. Article downloaded from web site: <http://members.home.net/jtimothy/wiwa.htm>.
 70. Hutchful E (1985). Oil Companies and environmental pollution in Nigeria. In In Claude Ake (ed.) *Political Economy of Nigeria*. London and Lagos: Longman. pp.113–140.
 71. Ibeanu, O. (1997). Oil, Conflict and Security in Rural Nigeria: Issues in the Ogoni Crisis, Harare: AAPS Occasional Paper Series, Vol. 1, No. 2.
 72. Ibhade, A. (2001). We can't stop Gas Flaring by 2004 Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp. Nigeria. All.africa.com
 73. ICG (International Crisis Group), (2006b). 'Nigeria: Want in the Midst of Plenty', ICG Africa Report, Number 113, July.
 74. IFAD (2002). The rural poor: survival or a better life? The choice between destruction of resources and sustainable development. A Paper submitted by IFAD to the World Summit on Sustainable Development Johannesburg, South Africa, 26 August-4, September.
 75. Igube, R.B. (2007). "The Development Paradox: Women and poverty in Nigeria. *Nigerian Sociological Review*, 2(1):60-61.
 76. Imevbore, A. A. and Adeyemi, S. A. (1981). "Environmental monitoring in relation to pollution and control of oil pollution
 77. Inoni, O. E., Omotor, D. G. and Adun, F. N. (2006). The Effect of Oil Spillage on Crop Yield and Farm Income in Delta State, Nigeria. *Journal of Central European Agriculture*, Vol. 7, No. 1. pp. 41-48.
 78. Iyayi, F. (2004). An integrated approach to development in the Niger Delta. A paper prepared for the Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD) Lagos, on Oil and National Development in Nigeria.
 79. Jike, V.T. (2004). "Environmental degradation, social disequilibrium, and the dilemma of sustainable development in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Journal of Black Studies*, 34 (5):686-701.
 80. KFRI (1980). Studies on the Changing Pattern of Man-Forest Interactions and its Implications for Ecology and Management, Kerala Forest Research Institute, Trivandrum
 81. Karl, L.T. and Gary, I. (2003). 'Bottom of the Barrel: Africa's Oil Boom and the Poor', Catholic Relief Services. Available online at www.crs.org/get_involved/advocacy/policy_and_strategic_issues/oil_report_one.cfm.
 82. Kemedi, D.V. (2005). Fuelling the Violence: Non-State Armed Actors, Militia, Cults, and Gangs in the Niger Delta. Niger Delta Economies of Violence Working Paper. USA, University of California, Berkeley.P. 10
 83. Kindzierski, W.D. (2000). "Importance of human environmental exposure to hazardous air pollutants from gas flares," *Environmental Reviews*, 8, 41-62.
 84. Kingdom, J. (1990). *Island Africa*. Academic Press, New York.
 85. Klett, T. R., Ahlbrandt, T. S., Schmoker, J. W., and Dolton, J. L. (1997). Ranking of the world's oil and gas provinces by known petroleum volumes. U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report-97-463.
 86. Kutty, M.N. (1987). Site selection for aquaculture: Chemical features of water. Working Paper African Regional Aquaculture Centre, Port Harcourt. ARAC/87/WP/2(9). 53p.
 87. Mamby, B. (1999). The price of oil: corporate responsibility and human rights violations in Nigeria's oil producing communities. Human Rights Watch, New York, 1999, ISBN: 156432-225-4. Online:<http://www.hrw.org/reports/1999/nigeria/nigeria0199.pdf>
 88. MacFarland, H. N. (1998). Toxicology of Petroleum Hydrocarbons. *Occup. Med.*, 3(3):445-454.
 89. Marland, G., Bnoudsen, T.A. and Andres, R. J. (2005). Global, Regional and National CO2 Emission. In *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre, Oak Ridge National

- Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
90. McGinley, M. and Duffy, J.E. (2007). Species diversity. In *Encyclopedia of Earth*, ed. Cutler J Cleveland. Washington DC: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment
 91. Messer, E., Cohen, M. and D'Costa J. (1998). *Food from peace: breaking the links between conflict and hunger. A 2020 Vision for Food, Agriculture and the Environment*, 2020 Brief 50. Washington, D.C: International Food Policy Research Institute.
 92. Moffat, D. and Linden, O. (1995). Perception and reality: assessing priorities for sustainable development in the Niger River Delta. *Ambio*, 24:527-538.
 93. Moser, M., Prentice, C. and Frazier, S. (1996). A global Overview of wetland loss and degradation. In proceedings of the sixth meeting of the conference of contracting parties of the Ramsar Convention. Vol.10 March 19-27, Brisbane, Australia. Gland, Switzerland : Ramsar Convention Bureau.
 94. NDDC (Niger Delta Development Commission) (1999). About Us - NDDC -- Niger Delta Development Commission www.nddc.gov.ng/about%20us.html
 95. NDHDR (Niger Delta Human Development Report) (2006), "Poor Human Development in Niger Delta-The State of the Niger-Delta Environment"
 96. NDES, (Niger Delta Environmental Survey) (1997). Report Environmental and Socio-Economic Characteristics Phase 1 Report Vol.1. Submitted by the Environmental Resources Managers Ltd., Lagos.
 97. NDWC, (Niger Delta Wetland Centre) (1995). Biodiversity management of the Niger Delta region, a field report, 5 June 1995.
 98. Nelson, M., Dempster, W.F., Alling, A., Allen, J.P., Rasmussen, R., Silverstone, S. and Thillo, M.V. (2003). Initial Experimental Results from the Laboratory Biosphere Closed Ecological System Facility, *Advances in Space Research*, 31(7):1721-1730.
 99. NNPC (Nigeria National Petroleum Company) (2009). Monthly Petroleum Information (MPI), September. www.nnpcgroup.com/Portals/0/NNPC.../2009/57.September%20%20highlights.pdf
 100. NPC (2007). Population census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria: analytical report at the national level. National Population Commission, Abuja, Nigeria. <http://www.population.gov.ng/>
 101. Nwadiaro, C. (1984). The longitudinal distribution of macroinvertebrates and fish in a lower Niger Delta river (River Sombreiro) in Nigeria. *Aquat Ecol.*, 18:133-140.
 102. Nwaoguikpe, R. N. (2011). The effect of crude oil spill on the ascorbic acid content of some selected vegetable species: Spinach oleraceae, Solanum melongena and Talinum triangulare in an oil polluted soil. *Pakistan J Nutr.*, 10:274-81.
 103. Nwogu, J. (1995) "The rural woman" in *Women's Rights Monitor*, (A journal of women justice programme) Jan - March, Vol.1 pp: 11
 104. Oakley, A. (1974) *The sociology of Housework*. The Pitman Press, Bath
 105. Obadina V (2000). "Gas flaring in Nigeria: Matters Arising." *Oil Exploration J.*, 2:181-193.
 106. Obajimi, M.O. (1998). Air Pollution – A Threat to Healthy Living in Nigerian Rural Towns. In *Current Issues in Nigerian Environment* (Osuntokun, A. ed.) Ibadan: Davidson Press. pp133-147.
 107. Obeng, L. (1981) Man's impact on tropical rivers. In Lock, M.A. and Williams, D.D. (Eds.). *Perspectives in running water biology*. Plenum press, New York. pp. 205-288.
 108. Obi, C. (1999). 'The Crisis of Environmental Governance in the NigerDelta 1985 1996). *Occasional Paper Series 3*(3)
 109. Obioh, I. B. (1999). "Environmental Impact Assessment of Emissions from Major Facilities at QIT," Atmospheric Emissions and Dispersion Modeling. Faithlink Consults Nigeria Ltd., PortHarcourt.
 110. Odilison, K. (1999). Issues in the purification of Rainwater from Oil Exploration area. *Ecol. Environ.*, 5(3):204 -215.
 111. Odjugo, P.A.O. (2007). The impact of climate change on water resources: Global and regional analysis. *Indonesia J. Geogr.* 39(1):23-41.
 112. Odjugo, P.A.O. (2010). General overview of climate change impacts in Nigeria. *J. Hum. Ecol.* 29(1):47-55.
 113. Odogwu, EC. (1981). "Economic and Social Impacts of Environmental Regulations on the Petroleum Industry in Nigeria: Economic and Social Considerations". A book of seminar proceedings, *The Petroleum Industry and the Nigerian Environment*. (Lagos: Nigeria National Petroleum Corporation).
 114. Ogbe, M.O. (2005). Biological resource conservation: a major tool for poverty reduction in Delta State. A key note address delivered at the Delta State maiden Council meeting on Environment with all stakeholders held at Nelrose Hotel, Delta State, Nigeria, 28th and 29th September,
 115. Ogbeibu, A. E. and Ezeunara, P. U. (2002). Ecological Impact of Brewery Effluent on the Ikpoba River. Using the fish communities as Bio-Indicators. *J of Aquatic Sci.*, 17(1): 35-44.
 116. Oghifo, B. (2001). Nigeria Loses \$6bn yearly to Gas Flaring, *Nig. J. of Env.* 24 (3), 18-24.
 117. Okie-Anie, A. I. (1980). Food and feeding habits of the fishes of Ekurede-Urhobo Creek Warri-B.Sc project supervised by Prof AB.M. Egborge University of Benin, Benin City Nigeria.
 118. Okezie, M. (1989). "Water Quality of some surface Rivers in the Nigeria's Niger Delta." *Environ. Health*, 2(2):84-91.
 119. Okon, E. J. (2002). "Women and the Niger Delta struggle," in R. Aduche Wokocha, *Development right issues in the Niger Delta*, Schaleworths Centre for Democracy and Development, pp. 66-73.
 120. Okpowo, B. and Adebayo, S. (2002). "Itsekiri, Ijaw women seize Shell, Chevron Delta facilities," *Vanguard* (Lagos) News, August 9. Online:<http://www.waado.org/>
 121. Okumagba, F. M. (1988). Studies of the fish community of Edjeba borrow pit in the intertidal zone of Warri River B.Sc project University of Benin, Benin City Nigeria.
 122. Omoweh, D. A. (1998). Shell and Land Crisis in Rural: A Case Study of the Isoko Oil Areas. *Scandinavian Journal of Development Alternatives and Areas Studies* 17 (2 & 3): 17-60.
 123. Omosun, G. Markson, A.A. and Mbanasor, O., (2008), Growth and Anatomy of *Amaranthus Hybridus* as Affected by Different Crude Oil Concentrations. *American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research*, 3 (1), pp. 70-74.
 124. Oniero, S.B.R. and Aboribo, I. (2001). Environmental Pollution: The Hidden Hand of Death in Warri Milieu. A paper presented at a Conference organised by Economic Department, Delta State University Abraka October 2000.
 125. Onoge, O. F. (2002). Social Impact of pollution. A paper presented at the Annual conference of Association of General and Private Medical Practitioners on March 2002 at Hotel Presidential Port Harcourt , CASS Newsletter Volume 9, No. 1 and 2.
 126. Onosode, G.O. (2003). *Environment issues and challenges of Niger-Delta*. Lagos: CIBN Press.
 127. Ordinioha, B. and Sawyer, W. (2008). Food insecurity, malnutrition and crude oil spillage in a rural community in Bayelsa State, south-south Nigeria. *Niger J Med.*, 17:304-309.
 128. Orubu, C.O, Odusola, A and Ehwarieme, W. (2004). The Nigerian Oil Industry: Environmental Diseconomies, Management Strategies and the Need for Community Involvement. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 16(3):203-214.
 129. Osam, M.U., Wegwu, M. O. and Uwakwe, A. A. (2011). The Omoku old pipeline oil spill: Total hydrocarbon content of affected soils and the impact on the nutritive value of food crops. *Arch Appl Sci Res.*, 3:514-21.
 130. Osuji, L. C. and Nwoye, I. (2007). An appraisal of the impact of petroleum hydrocarbons on soil fertility: The Owaza experience. *Afr J Agric Res.*, 2:318-24.
 131. Otukunfor, T V; Biukwu C O (2005): Impact of Refinery Influent on Physico-chemical Properties of a Water body on

- the Niger Delta. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*. 3(1) 61-72.
132. Patil, M. R. (1976). Pollution effects of industrial wastes in Reverine fishes of India. *FAO/IPEC/76. Symposium on the development and utilization of inland fisheries resources* 27-29 October, Colombo.p 38
 133. Picard, M. T. (1995). "Listening to and Learning from African Women Farmers". In James, V. U. (ed.), *Women and Sustainable Development in Africa*, Westport: Praeger.
 134. Powell. C. .B (1993). Sites and species of conservation interest in the Central Axis of the Niger Delta (Section C), report submitted to the Natural Resources Conservation Council of Nigeria, Biodiversity Unit, Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
 135. Powell, A. Marriott, J. and stockman, L., (2005), 'The Next Gulf: London Washington and the Oil Conflict in Nigeria', London: Constable and Robinson Ltd.
 136. Roberts, F. N. (1999). *The State, Accumulation and Violence: The Politics of Environmental Security in Nigeria's Oil Producing Areas*, Ibadan: NISER Monograph Series No. 17.
 137. Powell, G.V.N. and White, W.B. (1985). Population trends in some Florida Bay wading birds. *Wilson Bull.*, 101(3):436-457.
 138. Rowell, A. and Goodal, A. (eds). (1994). *Shell-Shocked. The environmental and social costs of living with Shell in Nigeria*. Greenpeace International: Amsterdam.
 139. RWI (Revenue Watch Institute) (2010). *The Big Survey Results – RWI Watch Index*
 140. Saro-Wiwa, K. (1999). *Is there Life in the Crippled Giant? The World Today*. February
 141. Schiff, M. and Valdes, A. (1992). "The plundering of agriculture in developing countries". Washington, D.C: The World Bank,
 142. SIDA (1995). *Sustainable Livelihoods*, SIDA
 143. Tetsola, E. A. and Egborge, A.B.M. (1991). Salinity and Seasonality of Fish in Warri River, Nigeria.
 144. Turcotte, H. (2002). 'Under the Oily Surface: Women's Political Movement Against the State and Oil in Nigeria'. Working Paper. http://www.isanet.org/noarchive/turcotte.html#_ftnref10.
 145. Turner, T.E. and Badru, P. (1985). "Oil and Instability: Class Contradictions and the 1983 Coup in Nigerian", *J. Afr. Marxists*, 7(3): 4-34.
 146. Turner, T.E. and Oshare, M.O. (1993). Women's uprisings against the Nigerian oil industry in the 1980s, Paper presented at the annual conference of the Canadian Association of Montreal, Canada, Online: www.uoguelph.ca/~tersatu/Counterplanning/c9.htm.
 147. Ubani, E. C. and Onyejekwe, I. M. (2013). "Environmental impact analysis of gas flaring in the Niger delta region of Nigeria," *American J. of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 4(2):246-252.
 148. Uchendu, P. K. (1995). *Education and the changing economic role of Nigerian women*, Enugu, Nigeria: Fourth Dimension Publication.
 149. Udo, R.K. (1970). *Geographical regions of Nigeria*, Ibadan Heinemann (pp.198-201) Wandrop Engineering Inc. with Mai and Associates (1993). *Study of Irrigation potential of Shallow Aquifers in Fadama Area of Borno and Yobe States*. A report to Borno State Agricultural Development Programme and Yobe State Agricultural Development programme Nigeria (pp.62-66).
 150. Udoh, I. A., Stammen, R. M., Mantell, J. E. (2008). Corruption and oil exploration, expert agreement about the prevention of HIV/AIDS in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. *Health Educ. Res.*, 23(4):670-681.
 151. Udoh, I.A., Mantell, J.E., Sandfort, T., Eighmy, M. A. (2009). Potential pathways to HIV/AIDS transmission in the Niger Delta of Nigeria: Poverty, migration and commercial sex. *AIDS Care*, 21(5):567-574.
 152. Udoinyang, G.U. (2005). The Effects of Gas Flaring on Sweet Potato Production in the Niger Delta, Nigeria, *J. Ecosyst.* 10(1):77-86.
 153. Uluocha, N. and Okeke, I. (2004) Implications of wetlands degradation for water resources management: lessons from Nigeria. *Geo. J* 61:151.
 154. UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) (2002). *Human Development Report*.
 155. hdr.undp.org/en/content/human-development-report
 156. UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) (2006). *Niger Delta Human Development Report*, Abuja, Nigeria.
 157. UN-ECA (2001). *United Nations Economy Commission for Africa. Population, Environment and Agriculture Interlinkages and Sustainable Development*.
 158. UNU-IAS Report (2004), "Agriculture for peace: promoting agricultural development in support of peace. UNU-IAS.
 159. van Dessel, J.P. (1995). The environmental situation in the Niger Delta. Nigeria. Internal Position Paper prepared for Greenpeace Netherlands, Section 5.1.
 160. Visvanathan, N., Duggan, L., Nisonoff, L. and Wiegiersma, --. (1997). *The Women, Gender and Development Reader*. Halifax, Canada: Fernwood Publishing Ltd.
 161. Watts, M. (2008). *Imperial Oil: The Anatomy of a Nigerian Oil Insurgency*. Niger Delta Economies of Violence Working paper No. 17, Institute of International Studies, University of California, Berkeley.
 162. Weidemann, C.J. (1987). *Agricultural extension for women farmers in Kaduna State, Nigeria*, A report to the World Bank, Washington, D. C., April.
 163. Williams, J. E. and Best, D. L. (1990a). *Measuring sex stereotypes: A multinational study* (rev. ed.). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.
 164. Willink, H., Hadow, G., Mason, P., Shearer, J.B. (1958). *Colonial Office Nigeria: Report of the Commission appointed to enquire into the fears of Minorities and the Means of Allaying Them*. Presented to Parliament by the Secretary of State for the Colonies by Command of Her Majesty. July. London: Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
 165. World Bank. (1995). *Defining an environmental strategy for the Niger Delta*. Nigeria: World Bank Industry and Energy Operations Division, West Central Africa Department.
 166. World Bank, (2000/2001), *World Development Report*, Washington D.C.
 167. World Bank (2007). *World development report. Development and the Next Generation*. The World Bank. www.worldbank.org.
 168. World Bank Document. (2008). *Republic of Nigeria, Niger Delta Social and Economic Conflict Analysis*. Sustainable Development Department African Region.
 169. World Bank. (2009). *World Bank GGFR Partners Unlock Values of Wasted Gas*, World Bank.
 170. Worgu, S.O. (2000), *Hydrocarbon Exploitation, Environmental Degradation and poverty in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria*, Lund, Sweden: Urhobo Historical Society Luna University, LUMES Programme.
 171. World Wildlife Fund, WWF, (2006), "Fishing on the Nigeria River," Retrieved 10 May, 2007 from [HThttp://www.panda.org/newsTHfacts/multimedia/video/index.cfm?NewsID=61121](http://www.panda.org/newsTHfacts/multimedia/video/index.cfm?NewsID=61121)
 172. Wuraola, A.J. (2001). "Women in Agriculture: Problems & prospects". *Nigerian Journal of Gender and Development*. 1 (1 & 2), January Edition.
 173. Young, A. (1998). *Land Resources :Now and for the Future*. Cambridge University Press.UK.